APPEAL NO. 030789 FILED MAY 15, 2003

| CODE ANN. § 401.001 <i>et seq</i> . (1989 Act). A confidence determination of the respondent (carrier) urges affirmance. | ontested case hearing was held or nined that the compensable injury , does not extend to or include |
|---|--|
| DECISION | |
| Affirmed. | |
| Extent of injury is a factual question for the 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing judge of the relevance and materiality of the evid credibility that is to be given to the evidence. No indicates that the hearing officer's decision is preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly we Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986). | g officer, as finder of fact, is the sole ence as well as of the weight and lothing in our review of the record so against the great weight and |
| The decision and order of the hearing officer | is affirmed. |
| The true corporate name of the insuran INSURANCE COMPANY and the name and address of process is | |
| CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS 350 NORTH ST. PAUL, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201. | |
| | Chris Cowan Appeals Judge |
| CONCUR: | |
| Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge | |
| Edward Vilano Appeals Judge | |